**HON'BLE SHRI G.S.SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**WRIT PETITION No.3569 OF 2001**

Between:

R.Venkata Reddy

. . .Petitioner

AND

Government of AP and another

. . .Respondents

**:: ORDER ::**

Counsel for the petitioner : Shri M.Ramakanth
for Shri M.S.N.Prasad

Counsel for the respondents : Government Pleader for
Roads and Buildings

**Dated: 18th July, 2007**

-

In this petition, the petitioner, who was then a member of the Legislative Assembly, has made the following substantive prayer:

> "Hence it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate order or direction declaring the circular Memo No.9999/TA3/AEE.2/99 dt:17-08-99 issued by the 2nd respondent as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequentially direct the Respondents that the Memo.No.1/E-in-C(R)/Peshi/98 dt:20-03-98 be implemented."

After arguing the case for some time and realising that the Court is not at all inclined to entertain the prayer made by his client, learned counsel for the petitioner wisely came out with a request for grant of permission to his client to withdraw the writ petition.

The request of the learned counsel is accepted and the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

While disposing of the writ petition in the manner indicated above, I deem it proper to note that a similar writ petition filed by another member of the Legislative Assembly, in the guise of public interest litigation, which was registered as Writ Petition No.25424 of 1999, was dismissed by the Division Bench of this Court and, but for the request made by the learned counsel, I would have dismissed this petition with exemplary costs.

As a sequel to dismissal of the main petition as withdrawn, WPMP No.4595 of 2001 filed by the petitioner for interim relief is disposed of as infructuous.

**G.S.SINGHVI, CJ**

18th July, 2007

kvni